

**Public Document Pack
SOUTHEND-ON-SEA BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Cabinet Committee

Date: Thursday, 12th September, 2019

Time: 6.00 pm

Place: Committee Room 1 - Civic Suite

Contact: Tim Row - Principal Democratic Services Officer

Email: committeesection@southend.gov.uk

AGENDA

- 1 Apologies for Absence**
- 2 Declarations of Interest**
- 3 Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday, 6th June 2019 (Pages 1 - 6)**
- 4 Minutes of the Meeting held on Thursday, 27th June 2019 (Pages 7 - 8)**
- 5 Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders (Pages 9 - 12)**
- 6 Requests for Waiting Restrictions (Pages 13 - 20)**

Members:

Cllr R Woodley (Chair), Cllr K Robinson (Vice-Chair) and Cllr M Terry

This page is intentionally left blank

Public Document Pack
SOUTHEND-ON-SEA BOROUGH COUNCIL

Meeting of Cabinet Committee

Date: Thursday, 6th June, 2019
Place: Committee Room 1 - Civic Suite

3

Present: Councillor R Woodley (Chair)
Councillors K Robinson (Vice-Chair) and M Terry

In Attendance: Councillors K Buck, D Cowan, T Cox, M Flewitt, B Hooper, D Jarvis, H McDonald, D McGlone, D Nelson, P Van Looy, S Wakefield and P Wexham
S Harrington, N Hunwicks, B Frost, D Caldwell and T Row

Start/End Time: 6.00 pm - 7.40 pm

53 Apologies for Absence

There were no apologies for absence.

54 Declarations of Interest

The following interests were declared at the meeting:

(a) Councillor Cowan – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Wells Avenue and Rochford Road) – Non-pecuniary interest: Worked with residents on the issues and presented concerns on their behalf;

(b) Councillor Flewitt – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Wells Avenue and Rochford Road) – Non-pecuniary interest: Worked with residents on the issues in Wells Avenue and residents in Rochford Road are known to him;

(c) Councillor Hooper – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Hadleigh Road) – Non-pecuniary interest: Lives in the vicinity and knows residents in the area;

(d) Councillor McDonald – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Arnold Avenue, Beresford Road, Burnaby Road, Burdett Road, Beach Road and Kursaal Way) – Non-pecuniary interest: Worked with residents in the area to explain the process;

(e) Councillor McGlone – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Wells Avenue and Rochford Road) – Non-pecuniary interest: Residents in the area are known to him;

(f) Councillor Terry – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Arnold Avenue, Beresford Road, Burnaby Road, Burdett Road, Beach Road and Kursaal Way) – Disqualifying non-pecuniary interest: Residents in the area affected are very well known to him (withdrew);

(g) Councillor Van Looy – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Arnold Avenue, Beresford Road, Burnaby Road, Burdett Road, Beach Road and Kursaal Way) – Non-pecuniary interest: Knows residents in Beresford Road; and

(h) Councillor Woodley – Agenda Item No. 4 (Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders – Wells Avenue and Rochford Road) – Non-pecuniary interest: Daughter is a pilot flying out of Southend Airport.

55 Minutes of the Meeting held on Thursday, 7th March 2019

Resolved:-

That the Minutes of the Meeting held on Thursday, 7th March, 2019 be received, confirmed as a correct record and signed.

56 Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders

The Cabinet Committee received a report of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) that presented the representations that had been received in response to the statutory consultation for proposed Traffic Regulation Orders in respect of various proposals across the Borough. These included:

(a) the amendment of the existing parking management scheme to introduce shared resident parking bays from 9.00 a.m. to 9.00 p.m. daily and pay & display/phone parking from 9.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. daily in Arnold Avenue, Beresford Road, Burnaby Road, Beach Road, Burdett Road and Kursaal Way, Southend on Sea;

(b) the introduction of a series of speed cushions in Hadleigh Road, Leigh on Sea;

(c) the introduction of junction protection ‘no waiting at any time’ restrictions in Centurion Close, Shoeburyness; and

(d) the introduction of residents parking schemes in Wells Avenue and Rochford Road, Southend on Sea.

The report also sought an appropriate recommendation to the Cabinet Committee on the way forward in respect of these proposals, after having considered all of the representations that had been received in writing and at the meeting. Large scale plans of the proposals were displayed at the meeting.

The report sought the Cabinet Committee's approval on the way forward in respect of all of these proposals, after having considered the views of the Traffic Regulations Working Party following consideration of all the representations that had been received in writing and at the meeting. Large scale plans of the proposals and photographs of the streets were displayed at the meeting.

Resolved:-

1. That no further action in respect of the proposals to introduce shared resident parking bays from 9.00 a.m. to 9.00 p.m. daily and pay & display/phone parking

from 9.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. daily in Arnold Avenue, Beresford Road, Burnaby Road, Beach Road, Burdett Road and Kursaal Way, Southend on Sea and the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) be authorised to confirm the Southend-on-Sea Borough Council (Various Roads) (Stopping, Waiting, Loading and Unloading Prohibitions and Restrictions, Parking Places and Permit Parking Zones) (Consolidation) Order 2016 (Amendment No. 3) Order 2019, subject to their exclusion from the order.

2. That the proposed speed cushions in Hadleigh Road not be progressed as advertised but that a report identifying appropriate/alternative measures in the Hadleigh Road and the roads in the wider surrounding area be submitted for consideration at the meeting of the Traffic Regulations Working Party and Cabinet Committee taking place on 12th September 2019.

3. That the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) be authorised to confirm the Southend-on-Sea Borough Council (Various Roads) (Stopping, Waiting, Loading and Unloading Prohibitions and Restrictions, Parking Places and Permit Parking Zones) (Consolidation) Order 2016 (Amendment No. 2) Order 2019 as advertised and to arrange for the amended traffic regulation order to be sealed and the proposals implemented.

4. That resolution 2 of Minute 764 of the Cabinet Committee held on 7th March 2019 regarding the hours of operation now be amended from “09.00 hrs to 17.00 hrs daily” to “09.00 hrs to 17.00 hrs Monday to Friday” and that the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) be authorised to confirm the Southend-on-Sea Borough Council (Rochford Road Service Roads Residents Permit Parking Scheme) (Zone RR) (Provision of Residents Permit Parking Places and Disabled Parking Bays) Order 2019 accordingly and to arrange for the amended traffic regulation order to be sealed and the proposals implemented.

Reason for Decision

As set out in the submitted report

Other Options

Each proposal needs to be considered on its individual merits and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking and wider impact on the surrounding network. Councillors may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

Note: This is an Executive function.

Eligible for call-in to Place Scrutiny Committee

Cabinet Member: Councillor Woodley

57 Requests for Waiting Restrictions

The Cabinet Committee received a report of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) that sought approval to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new waiting restrictions at the locations indicated in Appendix 1 to the submitted report, in accordance with the statutory processes and, subject to there being no objections received following statutory advertisement, to arrange for the relevant orders to be sealed and implement the proposals.

With reference to the request for waiting restrictions in Eastwood Park Car Park, the Cabinet Committee was informed that this same request had previously been considered by the Cabinet Committee at its last meeting. At that meeting it had resolved to amend the hours of the restrictions from those requested to enable other users of the park to be able to use the car park (Minute 765 refers). Authorisation to undertake stator consultation for these proposals had therefore already been granted and any objections to the proposed hours should therefore be made in writing to the statutory notice when published.

Having considered the views of the Traffic Regulations Working Party, it was:

Resolved:-

That the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) be authorised to publish the relevant statutory notice and undertake the necessary consultation for a traffic regulation order(s) for the following requests and, subject to there being no objections received following statutory advertisement, to arrange for the order to be sealed and the proposals implemented:

- (i) the introduction of “no waiting at any time” restrictions on the bend in Snakes Lane, Southend on Sea;
- (ii) the amendment of limited waiting in Shorefield Road, from 1 hour no return in 4 hours to 2 hours no return in 4 hours;
- (iii) the introduction of a shared loading and parking bay in the Victoria Avenue Service Road, outside the property at 47 Victoria Road, Southend on Sea; and
- (iv) the amendment of the existing waiting restrictions in Royston Avenue at its junction with Eastern Avenue Service Road and Eastern Avenue to provide “no waiting at any time” junction protection on the north kerblines of Eastern Avenue Service Road opposite the junction of Royston Avenue, Southend on Sea.

Reason for Decision

As set out in the submitted report

Other Options

As set out in the submitted report

Note: This is an Executive function
Eligible for call-in to Place Scrutiny Committee
Cabinet Member: Councillor Woodley

58 Petition requesting the installation of a footpath in Prittlewell Chase

Pursuant to Minute 876, the Cabinet Committee received a report of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) that receipt of the petition containing 83 signatures requesting the provision of a footway across the grassed central reservation in Prittlewell Chase, between the junction of Eastbourne Grove on the eastbound side of Prittlewell Chase and the bus stop on the westbound side.

Resolved:-

1. That the petition be noted.
2. That the request be investigated and a report on the outcome of the investigation be submitted to a future meeting of the Traffic Regulations Working Party and Cabinet Committee.

Reason for Decision

None

Other Options

None

Note: This is an Executive function.
Eligible for call-in to Place Scrutiny Committee
Cabinet Member: Councillor Woodley

Chair: _____

This page is intentionally left blank

SOUTHEND-ON-SEA BOROUGH COUNCIL

Meeting of Cabinet Committee

Date: Thursday, 27th June, 2019
Place: Committee Room 1 - Civic Suite

4

Present: Councillor R Woodley (Chair)
Councillors K Robinson (Vice-Chair) and M Terry

In Attendance: Councillors K Buck, P Collins, D Cowan, D Garston, D Jarvis,
H McDonald, A Moring, A Thompson and S Wakefield
S Harrington, T Row and B Frost

Start/End Time: 6.00 pm - 8.00 pm

111 Apologies for Absence

There were no apologies for absence.

112 Declarations of Interest

The following interests were declared at the meeting:

(a) Councillor D Garston – Agenda Item No. 4 (Permanent Vehicular Crossing (PVX) Exceptional Circumstances Application Ref: 18/00309a) – Non-pecuniary interest: Knows the parents of the applicant;

(b) Councillor McDonald – Agenda Item No. 4 (Permanent Vehicular Crossing (PVX) Exceptional Circumstances Application Ref: 17/00112) – Non-pecuniary interest: Know the mother of the applicant;

(c) Councillor Terry – Agenda Item No. 4 (Permanent Vehicular Crossing (PVX) Exceptional Circumstances Application Ref: 17/00112) – Non-pecuniary interest: Know the mother of the applicant;

(d) Councillor Terry – Agenda Item No. 4 (Permanent Vehicular Crossing (PVX) Exceptional Circumstances Application Ref: 18/00298) – Non-pecuniary interest: Lives in the vicinity; and

(e) Councillor Woodley – Agenda Item No. 4 (Permanent Vehicular Crossing (PVX) Exceptional Circumstances Application Ref: 17/00112) – Disqualifying non-pecuniary interest: Has been assisting neighbouring residents on a land issue (withdrew) (The chair for this item was taken by the Vice-Chair, Councillor Robinson).

113 Exclusion of the Public

Resolved:-

That, under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the items of business set out below, on the grounds

that they would involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act and that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

114 Permanent Vehicular Crossing (PVX) Exceptional Circumstances Application(s)

The Cabinet Committee received a report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place) that appraised Members of the exceptional circumstance applications for permanent vehicle crossings (PVX) as set out in Appendix 1 to the report. Some of the applicants attended the meeting in respect of their own application.

Resolved:

1. That, in view of the extenuating factors in relation to the individual case, and subject to the following conditions, the PVX exceptional circumstance application reference number 18/00309a be granted:

(1) The applicant will be liable for the full cost of felling and removal of the existing street tree; and

(2) The applicant will be liable for the full cost of planting two appropriate replacement street trees in the locality including purchase, planting, watering for 2 year and maintenance for 3 years, to be undertaken by the Council's Arboricultural Team

2. That the following PVX exceptional circumstance applications be refused:

Application reference number 18/00298
Application reference number 18/00317
Application reference number 18/00334
Application reference number 18/00172b
Application reference number 17/00112
Application reference number 18/00314

Reasons for Decision

To ensure compliance with the statutory duty under Section 184 of the Highways Act 1980 and the current Policy, to ensure safety, free flow of traffic and protection of the local environment.

Other Options

The local highway authority may approve a request with or without modification, or may propose alternative works or reject the request.

Note: This is an Executive function

Not eligible for call-in pursuant to Scrutiny Procedure Rule 15(e)(iv)

Cabinet Member: Cllr Woodley

Chair: _____

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda
Item No.

5

Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)

to

**Traffic Regulation Working Party
and Cabinet Committee**

on

12th September 2019

Report prepared by Sharon Harrington,
Interim Group Manager Highways & Traffic Network

Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders

**Cabinet Member : Councillor Woodley
Part 1 Public Agenda Item**

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 For the Traffic Regulation Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to consider details of the objections to advertised Traffic Regulation Orders in respect of various proposals across the borough.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 **That the Traffic Regulation Working Party consider the objections to the proposed Orders and recommend to the Cabinet Committee to:**

**(a) Implement the proposals without amendment; or,
(b) Implement the proposals with amendment; or,
(c) Take no further action**

- 2.2 **That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic Regulation Working Party, following consideration of the representations received and agree the appropriate course of action.**

3. Background

- 3.1 The Cabinet Committee periodically agrees to advertise proposals to implement waiting restrictions in various areas as a result of requests from Councillors and members of the public based upon an assessment against the Council's current policies.

- 3.2 The proposals shown on the attached **Appendix 1** were advertised through the local press and notices were displayed at appropriate locations informing residents and businesses of the proposals and inviting them to make representations in respect of the proposals. This process has resulted in the objections detailed in **Appendix 1** of this report. Officers have considered these objections and where possible tried to resolve them. Observations are provided to assist Members in their considerations and in making an informed decision.

4. Reasons for Recommendations

- 4.1 The proposals aim to improve the operation of the existing parking controls to contribute to highway safety and to reduce congestion.

5. Corporate Implications

5.1 Contribution to the Southend 2050 Road Map.

- 5.1.1 Ensuring parking and traffic is managed while maintaining adequate access for emergency vehicles and general traffic flow. This is consistent with the Council's Vision and Corporate Priorities of Safe, Prosperous and Healthy.

5.2 Financial Implications

- 5.2.1 Costs for confirmation of the Order and amendments, in **Appendix 1**, if approved, can be met from existing budgets.

5.3 Legal Implications

- 5.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

5.4 People Implications

- 5.4.1 Works required to implement the agreed schemes will be undertaken by existing staff resources.

5.5 Property Implications

- 5.5.1 None

5.6 Consultation

- 5.6.1 This report provides details of the outcome of the statutory consultation process.

5.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

- 5.7.1 Any implications will be taken into account in designing the schemes.

5.8 Risk Assessment

- 5.8.1 The proposals are designed to improve the operation of the parking scheme while maintaining highway safety and traffic flow and as such, are likely to have a positive impact.

5.9 Value for Money

- 5.9.1 Works associated with the schemes listed in **Appendix 1** will be undertaken by the Council's term contractors, selected through a competitive tendering process to ensure value for money.

5.10 Community Safety Implications

5.10.1 The proposals in **Appendix 1** if implemented will lead to improved community safety.

5.11 Environmental Impact

5.11.1 There is no significant environmental impact as a result of introducing the Traffic Regulation Orders.

6. Background Papers

6.1 None

7. Appendices

7.1 **Appendix 1** - Details of representations received and Officer Observations.

Appendix 1 Details of representations received and Officer Observations relating to the Report on Traffic Regulation Orders

Road	Proposed By	Proposal	Comments	Officer Comment
St Vincent's Road	Member	Proposed residents permit area (extension to the Cliff Pavilion Scheme Zone CP)	<p>4 letters of objection received, Main comments are that residents in adjoining roads will find it even more difficult to park as St Vincent's Road will be only for residents and displaced vehicles will be forced into surrounding area.</p> <p>Majority of properties have off-street parking, staff and visitors at the Care Homes will have difficulty parking; scheme should be extended to include other roads in the area. Problems of blocked driveways could be solved by the introduction of double yellow lines either side.</p> <p>4 letters in support have been received and they raise the following issues: Area suffer parking from commuters, staff at St Bernard's School and the University and it is impossible for friends and relatives to park. Also there has been a knock on effect from schemes introduced around the cliffs pavilion.</p>	<p>A wider area was consulted which included 5 roads situated to the east. St Vincent's Road was the only road to come close to the agreed threshold to progress a scheme to advertisement.</p> <p>There has been some displacement of parking into this road as a result of the permit scheme around the Cliffs Pavilion. To do this road in isolation would create a number of roads sandwiched between two permit schemes; which may give rise to additional parking pressures in these roads.</p> <p>There are concerns that introducing permit parking controls in isolated streets will displace the parking and lead to further requests to extend controls rather than addressing the issue on an area wide basis.</p> <p>Members are asked to consider the proposal, the comments received and any presentations at the meeting.</p>

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda
Item No.

6

Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)
to
**Traffic Regulations Working Party and Cabinet
Committee**
on
12th September 2019

Report prepared by: Sharon Harrington,
Interim Group Manager Highways & Traffic Network

Requests for Waiting Restrictions

Cabinet Member: Councillor Woodley
Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 For the Traffic Regulations Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new restrictions/traffic Regulation Orders in accordance with the statutory processes.

2. Recommendation

2.1. That the Traffic Regulations Working Party and the Cabinet Committee:-

- a) **Consider the requests to advertise the requisite Traffic Regulation Orders as shown in appendix 1;**
- b) **If approved, further agree that in the event of there being no objections to the proposals, the proposal will be added to the existing work programme and the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed;**
- c) **Note that all unresolved objections will be referred to the Traffic Regulations Working Party for consideration.**

3. Background

- 3.1 Requests for new or amendments to existing waiting or traffic restrictions are regularly received from residents and the businesses as well as officer and Member suggestions.
- 3.2 All requests are assessed and investigated against the policy criterion agreed criteria by the Cabinet Committee in November 2018.

4. Other Options

- 4.1 Each request needs to be considered on its individual merits and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking and wider impact on the surrounding network. Members may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

- 5.1 Where recommended the objective is to mitigate for likelihood of traffic flows being impeded, to improve safety or increase parking availability.

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to the Southend 2050 Road Map

- 6.1.1 Ensure the highway network is effectively managed contributing to a Safe and Prosperous Southend.

6.2 *Financial Implications*

- 6.2.1 Where recommended, the source of funding will be from allocated budgets, where funding is provided from alternative budgets, this is highlighted as appropriate.

6.3 *Legal Implications*

- 6.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process will be completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation where applicable.

6.4 *People Implications*

- 6.4.1 Staff time will be prioritised as needed to investigate, organise the advertisement procedures and monitor the progress of the proposals based on the committee priorities.

6.5 *Property Implications*

- 6.5.1 None

6.6 *Consultation*

- 6.6.1 Formal consultation will be undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street as appropriate.

6.7 *Equalities and Diversity Implications*

- 6.7.1 The objectives of improving safety takes account of all users of the public highway including those with disabilities.

6.8 *Risk Assessment*

6.8.1 Neutral.

6.9 *Value for Money*

6.9.1 All works resulting from the scheme design are to be undertaken by term contractors appointed through a competitive tendering process.

6.10 *Community Safety Implications*

6.10.1 All proposals are designed to maximise community safety through design, implementation and monitoring.

6.11 *Environmental Impact*

6.11.1 All proposals are designed and implemented to ensure relevant environmental benefits are attained through the use of appropriate materials and electrical equipment to save energy and contribute towards the Carbon Reduction targets where appropriate.

7. **Background papers**

None

8. **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – List of requests and comments

APPENDIX 1 – TRO CHANGES/WAITING RESTRICTIONS REQUESTS

Location	Request Details	Requested By	Relevant Criteria Points	Officer comments
Lundy Close	No Waiting at any time to be extended on the north side of the road and move existing disabled bay to the south side mark parking bays to regulate indiscriminate parking	Resident and Officers	(iii)	<p>A disabled bay was installed for a resident in the Close on the north side of the road outside their property under the usual guidelines. Since its' installation complaints have been received from residents that due to vehicles parking opposite the bay, emergency vehicles have had access difficulties. The proposal is to extend the double yellow lines on the north side as the close is too narrow to support parking both sides and move the disabled bay to the south side together with marked bays to regulate parking. The disabled and parking bays are advisory and therefore do not require advertisement.</p> <p>Recommend to advertise proposals for double yellow lines</p>
Thorpe Bay Gardens	Traffic Calming Measures	Residents and Officers	(iii)	<p>Requests have been received from Residents for the provision of speed humps due to antisocial behaviour along this length of road in the form of speeding</p> <p>Recommend to advertise proposals for a series of speed cushions along the length of road</p>
Warrior Square Junction with the High Street and Chichester Road	Relocate and increase existing disabled parking bays	Officers	N/A	<p>To upgrade the existing disabled parking bays from 2 to 3, to facilitate standard disabled bays and accommodate additional disabled parking facilities closer to the High Street</p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-Centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals.</p>

Warrior Square Junction with the High Street and Chichester Road	Relocate and increase existing loading bays and make dual purpose taxi rank/loading bays subject to approval from the Licensing Committee		NA	<p>To upgrade the existing and increase the loading bay length to allow additional delivery vehicle access it has been requested to amend the current loading times to the following:</p> <p><i>7am to 7pm Mon – Fri</i> <i>8am – 1pm Sat</i></p> <p>To operate as a taxi stand at all other times subject to approval from the Licensing committee.</p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals.</p>
Whitegate Road Junction with the High Street and Chichester Road	Relocate and reduce existing disabled parking bays	Officers	NA	<p>To reduce the existing disabled bay from 2 to 1, to facilitate a standard disabled bay parking facility closer to the High Street</p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals.</p>
Whitegate Road Junction with the High Street and Chichester Road	Relocate and reduce existing disabled parking bays and provide a loading bay	Officers	NA	<p>To reduce the existing disabled bays from 3 to 2, to facilitate standard disabled bay parking facilities closer to the High Street</p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals.</p>

Whitegate Road Junction with the High Street and Chichester Road	Provide a new loading bay	Officers	NA	<p>To provide a new loading bay facility to allow additional delivery vehicle access closer to the High Street it has been requested to provide the following loading times:</p> <p><i>7am to 7pm Mon – Fri</i> <i>8am – 1pm Sat</i></p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals. Recommend to advertise proposals.</p>
Whitegate Road Junction with the High Street and Chichester Road	Relocated fire lane.	Officers	NA	<p>To relocate the existing Fire Lane to facilitate easier turning movements onto the High Street for emergency vehicles.</p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals.</p>
Tylers Avenue junction with Chichester Road	Provide a new loading bay to create shared taxi rank/loading bays subject to approval from the Licensing Committee	Officers	NA	<p>To provide a new loading bay facility to allow additional delivery vehicle access closer to the High Street it has been requested to provide the following loading times:</p> <p><i>7am to 7pm Mon – Fri</i> <i>8am – 1pm Sat</i></p> <p>To operate as a taxi stand at all other times subject to approval from the Licensing committee.</p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals. Recommend to advertise proposals.</p>

York Road junction with Chichester Road	Provide 2 new disabled parking bays	Officers	NA	<p>To provide 2 new disabled bays to facilitate additional standard disabled bay parking facility closer to the High Street</p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals.</p>
York Road junction with Chichester Road	Provide a new loading bay	Officers	NA	<p>To provide a new loading bay facility to allow additional delivery vehicle access closer to the High Street it has been requested to provide the following loading times:</p> <p><i>7am to 7pm Mon – Fri</i> <i>8am – 1pm Sat</i></p> <p>National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) will be funding all works through the Town-centre Redevelopment Improvement Project (TRIP), it is recommended to advertise the proposals. Recommend to advertise proposals.</p>
Cannonsleigh Crescent	Junction protection on corner opposite school entrance	Resident and Councillor		<p>Requests have been received for the provision of double yellow lines to deter vehicles parking on the bend opposite the school car park entrance as the road is narrow at this point and emergency vehicles are experiencing difficulties turning due to parked vehicles</p> <p>.</p> <p>Recommend to advertise proposals.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank